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## K-4 Enrollment: 2016-I7

Manchester Public Schools K-4 Enrollment, by Grade: 2016-17

| School | K | I | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | K-4 Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bowers | 78 | 82 | 84 | 73 | 90 | $\mathbf{4 0 7}$ |
| Buckley | 70 | 66 | 69 | 69 | 59 | 333 |
| Highland Park | 71 | 64 | 50 | 67 | 62 | $\mathbf{3 1 4}$ |
| Keeney or Martin | 91 | 80 | 79 | 93 | 90 | 433 |
| Verplanck | 105 | 127 | 108 | 108 | 116 | 564 |
| Waddell | 125 | 112 | 117 | 94 | 97 | 545 |
| Total | 540 | $53 I$ | 507 | 504 | 514 | $\mathbf{2 , 5 9 6}$ |

Based on 6 school Elementary Alignment
As if consolidated K-4 boundaries were already in place
All out of area placements were returned to their elementary school district of residence

- Assumes Martin or Keeney Elementary School is closed -Whichever site best supports new construction or "renovate like new" would remain open
- School construction projects at Bowers (target capacity of $\sim 400$ students) and Keeney/Martin (target capacity of $\sim 430$ students)


## K-4 Racial Balance: 2016-I7

|  | Minority Students |  | Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School | Count | Percent | from District |
| Bowers | 248 | $60.9 \%$ | $-1.6 \%$ |
| Buckley | 163 | $48.9 \%$ | $-13.6 \%$ |
| Highland Park | 185 | $58.9 \%$ | $-3.6 \%$ |
| Keeney or Martin | 241 | $55.7 \%$ | $-6.9 \%$ |
| Verplanck | 403 | $71.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 . 9 \%}$ |
| Waddell | 384 | $70.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 . 9 \%}$ |
| District | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |

Based on 6 school Elementary alignment
All out of area placements were returned to their elementary school district of residence
Does not include Pre-K enrollment

- All schools would be racially balanced for the 2016-17 school year
- Buckley has the highest deviation from district-wide average for like grades at I3.6\%.


## K-4 Socioeconomic Balance: 2016-I7

|  | Free/Reduced Lunch |  | Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School | Count | Percent | from District |
| Bowers | 237 | $58.2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Buckley | 125 | $37.5 \%$ | $-\mathbf{- 1 7 . 4 \%}$ |
| Highland Park | 185 | $58.9 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Keeney or Martin | 207 | $47.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{- 7 . 2 \%}$ |
| Verplanck | 385 | $68.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{I 3 . 3 \%}$ |
| Waddell | 288 | $52.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{- 2 . 1 \%}$ |
| District | $\mathbf{I , 4 2 7}$ | $55.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |

Based on 6 school Elementary alignment
All out of area placements were returned to their elementary school district of residence
Does not include Pre-K enrollment

- All schools would be within $20 \%$ of districtwide percent of students receiving Free or Reduced Lunch (FRL)
- Buckley would have lowest percent of FRL students at 37.5\%
- Verplanck would have highest percent of FRL students at $68.3 \%$



## K-4 Enrollment: 2016-I7

Manchester Public Schools K-4 Enrollment, by Grade: 2016-17

| School | K | I | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | K-4 Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bowers | 78 | 82 | 84 | 73 | 90 | $\mathbf{4 0 7}$ |
| Buckley | 70 | 66 | 69 | 69 | 59 | 333 |
| Highland Park | 72 | 65 | 55 | 71 | 64 | $\mathbf{3 2 7}$ |
| Keeney or Martin | 90 | 79 | 74 | 89 | 88 | $\mathbf{4 2 0}$ |
| Verplanck | 105 | 127 | 108 | 108 | 116 | $\mathbf{5 6 4}$ |
| Waddell | 125 | 112 | 117 | 94 | 97 | $\mathbf{5 4 5}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 9 6}$ |

Based on 6 school Elementary Alignment
As if consolidated K-4 boundaries were already in place
All out of area placements were returned to their elementary school district of residence

- Assumes Martin or Keeney Elementary School is closed -Whichever site best supports new construction or "renovate like new" would remain open
- School construction projects at Bowers (target capacity of $\sim 400$ students) and Keeney/Martin (target capacity of $\sim 420$ students)


## K-4 Racial Balance: 2016-I7

|  | Minority Students |  | Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School | Count | Percent |  |
| Bowers | 248 | $60.9 \%$ | $-1.6 \%$ |
| Buckley | 163 | $48.9 \%$ | $-13.6 \%$ |
| Highland Park | 204 | $62.4 \%$ | $-\mathbf{0 . 2 \%}$ |
| Keeney or Martin | 222 | $52.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{- 9 . 7 \%}$ |
| Verplanck | 403 | $71.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 . 9 \%}$ |
| Waddell | 384 | $70.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 . 9 \%}$ |
| District | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |

Based on 6 school Elementary alignment
All out of area placements were returned to their elementary school district of residence
Does not include Pre-K enrollment

- All schools would be racially balanced for the 2016-17 school year
- Buckley has the highest deviation from district-wide average for like grades at I3.6\%.


## K-4 Socioeconomic Balance: 2016-I7

|  | Free/Reduced Lunch |  | Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School | Count | Percent | from District |
| Bowers | 237 | $58.2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Buckley | 125 | $37.5 \%$ | $-17.4 \%$ |
| Highland Park | 214 | $65.4 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ |
| Keeney or Martin | 178 | $42.4 \%$ | $-12.6 \%$ |
| Verplanck | 385 | $68.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{I 3 . 3 \%}$ |
| Waddell | 288 | $52.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{- 2 . 1 \%}$ |
| District | $\mathbf{I , 4 2 7}$ | $55.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |

Based on 6 school Elementary alignment
All out of area placements were returned to their elementary school district of residence
Does not include Pre-K enrollment

- All schools would be within $20 \%$ of districtwide percent of students receiving Free or Reduced Lunch (FRL)
- Buckley would have lowest percent of FRL students at $37.5 \%$
- Verplanck would have highest percent of FRL students at $68.3 \%$

